

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/491,703	01/26/2000	Alex Dai-Shun Poon	2043.007US1	8953
21186 75	90 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
	N, LUNDBERG, WOE	COLBERT, ELLA		
1600 TCF TOWER 121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	09/491,703	POON, ALEX DAI-SHUN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ella Colbert	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 №</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16,25-32,41-48,58,59,62,63,66,67, 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation St  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16,25-32,41-48,58,59,62,63,66,67, 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	neet is/are withdrawn from consident is/are withdrawn from consident is/are rejected.  The provided is/are rejected is/are rejected.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/08/02, 6/04/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 1-8,17-24,33-40,49-57,60,61,64,65,68-70,72,74 and 76.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-76 are pending. Group II, Claims 9-16, 25-32, 41-48, 58, 59, 62, 63, 66, 67, 71, 73, and 75 have been elected and Claims 1-8, 17-24, 33-40, 49-57, 60, 61, 64, 65, 68-70, 72, 74, and 76 have been cancelled with traverse with applicant reserving the right to petition the Requirement for Restriction and/or file divisional application(s) on the non-elected claims filed 11/07/05 has been entered.
- 2. The IDS filed 07/08/02 and 06/04/01 have been considered and entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-16, 25-32, 41-48, 58, 59, 62, 63, 66, 67, 71, 73, and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 6,489,968) Ortega et al, hereafter Ortega.

Claims 9, 25, and 41. Ortega teaches, Providing a plurality of category entries to be displayed for said user in a category field within a display window, said plurality of category entries being used to categorize an item in said computerized transaction (col. 4, line 45-col. 5, line 35 and line 66-col. 6, line 4); detecting selection by said user of a category entry of said plurality of category entries (col. 6, lines 21-29 and lines 34-39). Ortega did not expressly disclose, responsive to said detection of said selection of said category entry, providing a plurality of subcategory entries being hierarchically related to

Application/Control Number: 09/491,703

Art Unit: 3624

said selected category entry within a category hierarchy data structure, to be displayed for said user in at least one subcategory entry field within said display window, concurrently with said category field, said plurality of subcategory entries being used to categorize said item in said transaction. However, Ortega did disclose a tree-like structure with subcategories. Fig. 1B in Ortega shows a hierarchical tree. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be responsive to said detection of said selection of said category entry, providing a plurality of subcategory entries being hierarchically related to said selected category entry within a category hierarchy data structure, to be displayed for said user in at least one subcategory entry field within said display window, concurrently with said category field, said plurality of subcategory entries being used to categorize said item in said transaction in view of Ortega's teachings of categories and subcategories to modify in Ortega because such a modification would allow Ortega to identify items and categories in a hierarchical browse structure.

Claims 10, 26, and 42. Ortega teaches, further comprising providing a category number associated with said selected category entry to be displayed for said user in said display window (col. 15, lines 48-62, Table 8, and Figure 8).

Claims11, 27, and 43. Ortega teaches, wherein said category field comprises twelve category entries in alphabetical order (col. 7, lines 25-31 and Figure 1A –has more than twelve category entries in a category field-Books/Sports and Outdoors). The fact that there are twelve category entries in alphabetical order is considered a design choice.

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 12, 28, and 44. Ortega teaches, further comprising subsequently detecting input of said category number from said user and, responsive to said detection of said input, providing said associated category entry to be displayed for said user in said category field (col. 7, lines 32-58).

Claims 13, 29, and 45. Ortega teaches, wherein said at least one subcategory field further comprises a first subcategory field containing a plurality of first subcategory entries being hierarchically related to said category entry of said plurality of category entries selected by said user; a second subcategory field containing a plurality of second subcategory entries being hierarchically related to a selected first subcategory entry of said plurality of first subcategory entries; and a third subcategory field containing a plurality of third subcategory entries being hierarchically related to a selected second subcategory entry of said plurality of second subcategory entries (col. 5, line 36-col. 6, line 20). Applicants' claim 13 is merely a hierarchical tree structure as shown in Ortega's Figure 1B.

Claims 14, 30, and 46. Ortega teaches, wherein said category field and said at least one subcategory field are contained in graphically distinct areas within said display window (col. 4, lines 53-67, col. 5, lines 37-41, col. 14, lines 33-65, and Figure 6). Claims 15, 31,and 47. Ortega teaches, wherein said at least one subcategory field is substantially adjacent to said category field (col. 5, line 7-col. 6, line 4 and Figure 1A). Claims 16, 32, and 48. Ortega teaches, wherein said category field and said at least one subcategory field are page mark-up language documents (col. 9, lines 1-38 and Tables 3 and 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/491,703 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 58,.62, and 66, Ortega teaches, further comprising: detecting selection by said user of at least one subcategory entry of said plurality of subcategory entries (col. 4, lines 59-67).

Claims 59, 63, and 67. Ortega teaches, further comprising: responsive to said detection of said selection of said at least one subcategory entry, providing a category number associated with said selected category entry and said at least one selected subcategory entry to be displayed for said user in said display window (col. 5, lines 36-64).

Claims 71, 73, and 75. Ortega teaches, wherein said plurality of category entries are maintained in said category field within said display window and said plurality of subcategory entries are displayed in said at least one subcategory field within said display window, concurrently with said plurality of category entries (col. 5, line 66-col. 6, line 4 and col. 7, lines 6-58).

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Friedland et al (US 6,449,601) disclosed an on-line auction, categories, and a list.

Van Horn et al (US 6,934,690) disclosed a product table with fields and a display page.

Ng (US 6,405,175) disclosed a web page for products and prices, categories, and sub-categories.

## Inquiries

Application/Control Number: 09/491,703 Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday, 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. Colbert

Primary Examiner January 20, 2006